

KADIR HAS UNIVERSITY
REGULATIONS FOR THE SUPPORT OF SCHOLARLY RESEARCH PROJECTS

PART I

Goals, Scope, Resources and Terms

Goals and Scope

Article 1. These regulations stipulate the guidelines for organizing the practice and principles for the acceptance, evaluation, support, monitoring and execution of project proposals submitted by faculty members, lecturers or researchers with a PhD or Proficiency in Arts/ for scientific and scholarly research to be supported by Kadir Has University. The main aims of these regulations are to motivate faculty members and other academic personnel at Kadir Has University in the execution of scientific research and publishing, to accelerate the process of their becoming research scientists and to help them reach the research maturity to carry out broader and internationally funded research projects.

Support

Article 2 - These regulations adhere to the principles stated in the Council of Higher Education's Regulation for Scientific Research published in Official Gazette dated 26.11.2016 and numbered 29900.

Terms

Article 3. The fundamental concepts appearing in these regulations are defined as:

- (1) **Scientific Research Project (SRP):** Scientific and scholarly projects carried out at Kadir Has University in collaboration with national and/or international institutions, the results of which are expected to make global contributions to the field scientifically and contribute to the country's technological, economic, social and cultural development.
- (2) **SRP Committee (SRP-C):** The committee which supports scientific research projects at KHU. This commission is also in charge of project evaluations.
- (3) **Project Director/Directors:** Full-time faculty members, lecturers with a PhD or researchers at Kadir Has University who have completed a PhD or Proficiency in Arts who propose projects and are responsible for the preparation and implementation of research projects.
- (4) **Referees:** Scholars specializing in the field of proposed projects who are consulted for project reports and project development when needed.
- (5) **Project Evaluation Group:** This group is responsible for evaluating project proposals. It is to be organized by the SRP committee; the coordinator and leader of the group must be member of the committee. In addition, at least two referees must be part of the group.

PART II
Acceptance, Evaluation, Support, Monitoring and Finalization
of Scientific Research Projects

Applications

Article 4. (1) There are no fixed application deadlines for Scientific Research Projects. Project applications are evaluated at the earliest time permitted by the work schedule of the related commission. The applications for projects are to be made as two hard copies and one soft copy in accordance with the format requirements of the Project Application Form. These are then submitted to the SRP committee through the Faculty which the research director reports to. Faculty members and researchers working in institutes and research centers can apply through their graduate school or center.

(2) The evaluation of proposed projects is to be carried out by the SRP Committee. For a project to be considered for evaluation the following conditions must be met:

- a) Project owners must be full-time faculty members, lecturers with a PhD or researchers at Kadir Has University with a PhD or Proficiency in Arts.
- b) A faculty member may simultaneously act as the director of no more than one project and as the researcher of no more than two projects under the terms of these regulations. However, a faculty member may act as the director of a second project provided that participants in this second project are her/his doctorate students for whose theses she/he is the adviser and provided that these students take no part in the faculty member's other project.
- c) SRP Committee members may not propose projects.
- d) Project applications may be made in the name of Research and Implementation Centers active within the university. In such cases, a member of the unit's administrative board, determined by the director of the center or the central administrative board, will act as the project director. As such, an individual can act as the director of maximum one project and this project is not taken into account in the limitations regarding the number of individual projects being directed.
- e) Faculty members or researchers who have received SRP support but have failed to produce an international publication or make a patent application cannot apply for further SRP support for a two-year period.

SRP Application Guidelines

Article 5. SRP applications must be made with a Project Application Form stating in detail the project's rationale, method, original value, wider influence/added value, work schedule and budget:

- 1) Project Application Form
- 2) Academic CV
- 3) Research Proposal
- 4) Budget
- 5) Work Plan
- 6) Project Rationale
- 7) Method
- 8) Original Value
- 9) Wider influence/added value,

Language of the Project Proposal: Projects may be proposed and carried out in Turkish or English.

(1) Application Form:

The application Form includes the following information about the project and project owner:

Project Title: Must reflect the content of the project and must be as precise as possible (Turkish and English).

Project Director: Includes the name, surname, title, department and faculty of the project director.

Project Field: Must indicate which field the project belongs to, such as sciences, engineering, social sciences and so on.

Project Timetable: Must not exceed two years, and must indicate the start and end dates for the project.

Brief academic CV: Must concisely outline the project director's academic history, focusing on previous projects and publications (maximum 500 words).

Brief Publications List: A brief list of the project director's publications which are directly related to or supportive of the project; a maximum of two examples are to be included.

The amount of support requested and justifiable budget: The total amount of the budget must be indicated and every item must be accounted for. The maximum amount of support that KHU will make available for an SRP is determined every year by the decision of the Board of Trustees of the University. The additional support to be given for a project cannot exceed 50 percent of the project budget.

Other Support (if applicable): If there are other institutions supporting the project, these should be listed with the amount of support that they will provide.

Referee list: Names and addresses of at least three scholars who can evaluate the project should be provided. The referees may be from KHU, but preferably will be from different universities within Turkey or abroad.

(2) Academic CV

Detailed academic CV which includes the applicant's professional background, education, publications, papers and lectures at conferences, activities such as workshops, awards, earlier projects, memberships in scientific institutions, and duties such as refereeing and administrative works.

(3) Project Proposal

Project Title: Must reflect the content of the project and must be as precise as possible.

Summary: Must briefly outline the aim of the project, as well as its importance and plan (maximum 350 words).

Keywords: Five keywords that best reflect the content of the project.

Aim and Importance of the Project: The aims of the project must be clearly explained, as well as the contribution the project will make to the relevant theory and praxis of the field (maximum 250 words).

Project Proposal: The project director must provide a detailed definition of the project utilizing the information described under the sub-headings below. The overall project proposal must not exceed ten thousand words.

Relevant Research: The field of research, its place in the literature and contribution to the literature must be summarized. Its scientific importance must be stated.

Subject and Methodology: Provide information concerning the following: the rationale for the selection of the research and field; hypotheses and arguments; methodology for analysis and means of calculation; and, a plan for experimentation.

Project Outcomes and Publication Strategies: Provide information concerning projected outcomes and products, as well as their contributions to the relevant field. For projects falling within the scope of these regulations, project directors are expected to produce at least one superior quality international publication. The researcher must state the means of disseminating the results of the project to the scientific and general community (book, article, report, etc.).

Project Director Expertise: State the project director's particular skills which support her/him in the proposed research, as well as related experience (i.e., an outline of her/his projects which were supported by KHU and/or other universities as well as research supported by Turkish and/or foreign scientific institutions and foundations. Also, any publications in journals listed in indexes such as SCI, SSCI, AHCI and the number of references made to those published works.

Available Means for Research: Provide information concerning equipment such as machines, instruments, materials and/or chemicals which are already available for use for the project.

Source List: Include a bibliography (maximum two pages) noting sources used in constructing the framework of the research; these sources must be duly referred to in the proposal.

(4) Budget

A detailed account of the costs and a realistic budget indicating the rationale of planned expenditures (if not already stated in the project proposal) must be presented. In the expenditures list, all related expenditures must be included. For larger expenditures, a pro forma invoice must also be included.

(5) Work Plan

Tasks to be completed within the course of the project must be outlined within a timetable that is divided into periods of six months. The timetable must be in accordance with the rationale of timing as indicated in the proposal.

Principles for Evaluation of Projects

Article 6.

(1) In the evaluation and selection of projects, the following criteria are taken into account: contributions to the theory and praxis of the field; public welfare; earlier projects and publications from them of the director and researcher; the number of publications which the project director has had published in internationally-recognized journals and which appear in such indexes as SCI, SSCI and AHCI; the number of references that have been made to her/his published works; the practicality of the project budget; referees' comments; the interdisciplinary character of the project; and, whether or not the project will be carried out jointly.

(2) In addition, the following points will be taken into consideration in project selection:

- a) The project's topic, its scientific outcomes and expectations must be original.
- b) Graduate and postgraduate theses cannot be proposed as research projects. Original topics which will lead to new research based on such theses may be proposed.
- c) Projects should produce results which are useful in terms of praxis; involve multiple participants and be based on numerous sources and activities; be multi-centered, inter-institutional, international and interdisciplinary; and be in accordance with university and national scientific interest.
- d) Research projects must contribute to global science as well as the technological, economic, social and cultural development of the country.
- e) Scholars under the age of 35, interdisciplinary projects and project groups favoring gender equality are given precedence for project support.

(3) Projects which have not been prepared in line with format requirements and which are lacking any elements as required by the statute will not be taken into consideration.

Organization of the SRP Commission, and Evaluation and Support of Projects

Article 7. (1)

The SRP committee, which is under the administration of the Rector or a Vice Rector, is appointed by the Rector upon the recommendation of the Senate and is to be made up of a minimum of seven and a maximum of eleven faculty members of Kadir Has University whose competence in their field is accepted and/or who have published articles in journals included in international indexes. One of these faculty members is appointed as the head of the committee. These members are to be appointed for four years, taking into consideration the balance of members among the university's discipline areas. At the end of this period committee members may be re-appointed.

(2) Research project proposals shall be evaluated by the SRP committee, and the details of the evaluation procedure are to be drafted and declared by the said SRP committee. During the evaluation process for proposals which require specialist input, the committee shall take into account the reviews and comments given by referees. One member of the committee shall collaborate with at least two project referees as the coordinator of the group. The Project Evaluation Group, which shall comprise one commission member and a minimum of two referees, is to be selected by the SRP Committee. The SRP Committee shall thus utilize the project referee database which it has produced, the list that the project director submits, and the input of other national and/or international scholars.

(3) The committee elects a rapporteur among its members for the projects in the light of information in hand and SRP resources, projects are approved or denied by a majority of votes. The committee will bear in mind the balance between discipline areas when evaluating projects of equal value.

(4) Both projects which are accepted for support and projects which are refused are brought onto the University Administrative Board agenda. Projects which are accepted by the Administrative Board for support will be submitted for approval to the certifying officer.

(5) A contract will be signed by the director of the project accepted for support and by the SRP Committee; this contract includes the details of the project, including the start date. The project director is required to follow the guidelines of this contract.

Project Monitoring

Article 8. The project director is responsible for submitting appropriately formatted development reports to the SRP Committee within the timeframe specified in the protocol. The report is to include a summary of the related period's work and spending. These reports are to be evaluated by the SRP Committee, and referees may be consulted for that purpose. The committee will then make a judgment concerning the report. If the result is negative, the project may be terminated, may continue conditionally or may be cancelled. Support for project stages after a period of one year requires a positive decision from the Committee.

Project Finalization

Article 9. (1) The final report including the results of the project is to be presented to the SRP Committee within one month, at the latest, following the ending date specified in the protocol, together with proof of expenditures. Papers, articles and related materials prepared during the course of the project must be included with the final report.

(2) The final report shall be evaluated by the committee, and the result is to be announced to the project director in writing. If the feedback is negative, the project director is expected to rewrite the report within the specified deadline.

Purchase and Usage of Materials within the scope of an SRP

Article 10. All items, programs, books and other materials purchased with the budget of the project aside from expendables are to be registered to the university's inventory stock and provided for the use of the project owner as long as her/his employment of KHU continues.

Goods such as computers and printers and their consumables which are routinely provided by the University are not allowed to be purchased through SRP funds.

No payment can be made, under any definition, to the full-time personnel of Kadir Has University within the scope of an SRP project. The one-month-long grant to be paid to scholarship/non-scholarship graduate students working as a researcher on a project cannot be above the amount of the scholarship paid to graduate students as stipulated in the Kadir Has University Graduate Regulations.

In all the purchases of goods and services from outside of the University that will be made within the scope of the project, the Purchasing and Business Processes prepared in line with the Kadir Has University Regulations for Purchasing and Tenders must be followed.

PART III

Sanctions, Extensions and Final Provisions

Termination or Cancellation of a Project

Article 11. (1) The SRP Committee maintains the right to terminate, cancel, or demand revisions for a project if the progress report fails to produce promised results; the progress report is not delivered two times in succession; the project director resigns; the budget is misused; the final report is not submitted on schedule and so forth.

(2) A project director whose project has been terminated or annulled, or whose final report is not accepted as satisfactory, may not apply for another research proposal for a period of two years. Any and all equipment and materials purchased under the scope of a project which has been terminated shall be turned over by the project director to the university's possession.

Extensions

Article 12. (1) A project director may request an extension, at the latest, one month before the end of original deadline; such requests must be accompanied by the most recent progress report and the rationale for extension; extensions may not exceed six months. The SRP Committee shall make any and all decisions regarding extensions.

Publications and copyright

Article 13. Publications resulting from the project must include the phrase “Supported by Kadir Has University’s Scientific Research Commission.” A copy of the publication must be submitted to the SRP Committee. Any financial rights resulting from the copyright of scientific results of the project are the property of KHU. If the financial rights exceed project expenses, the SRP Committee will decide how to distribute any excess amounts in keeping with the university’s budgetary policy.

Implementation

Article 14. These regulations, some articles of which were amended at a University Senate meeting held on 28.09.2017, are valid from that date and replace the regulations approved by the University Senate on 21.12.2016.

Execution

Article 15. The legal provisions of these Regulations are executed by the Rector of Kadir Has University.

This text is a translation of the original Turkish regulations, and is solely intended to provide information concerning those regulations. The terms, conditions and stipulations in the original Turkish-language regulations shall be legally binding if any disputes over meaning should arise.

KADİR HAS UNIVERSITY

PROJECT PROPOSAL APPLICATION FORM

To be filled in by the Project Commission.	
The Faculty It Is Sent to	Project No:
The Department It Is Sent to	

1. Application Cover Page

Project Title		
Applicant/ Department where the Project will be Conducted		
Project Manager Name Surname and Title		
Amount of the Recommended (Proposed?) Funds (YTL) :	Amount of the Approved Funds (YTL) : (Leave blank)	
Proposed Duration of the Project (Months) :	Approved Duration of the Project (Project) : (Leave blank)	
Proposed Start Date:	Approved Start Date (Leave blank)	

PROJECT DIRECTOR		
Name Surname and Title	e-mail	
Faculty/Department	Date	Signature

RESEARCHER		
Name Surname and Title	e-mail	
Faculty/Department	Date	Signature
RESEARCHER		
Name Surname and Title	e-mail	
Faculty/Department	Date	Signature
RESEARCHER		
Name Surname and Title	Name Surname and Title	Name Surname and Title
Faculty/Department	Faculty/Department	Faculty/Department
APPLICANT/NAME SURNAME AND TITLE OF THE RESPONSIBLE PERSON AT THE INSTITUTION WHERE THE PROJECT WILL BE CONDUCTED	DATE	SIGNATURE

2. Summary and Keywords: Project title, summary and keywords must be written in **Turkish and English**. In the abstract, the significance of the subject in the literature should be specified very shortly and then the project's original value and the impact of expected results must be underscored. Additionally, how the project will be conducted (experimental design/approach, methods, equipment, stages and time) must be summarized. Project abstracts should not exceed one page.

Project Title:

Summary

Keywords:

Project Title:

Abstract

Keywords:

3. Aim: The aim of the proposed project and the outcomes to be met must be stated clearly. (The box below can be enlarged if necessary.)

4. Subject and Scope: The subject and scope of the proposed project must be defined clearly and explained in relation with its aim. (The box below can be enlarged if necessary.)

5. Literature Summary: This should not be a bibliographical list, but a short analysis of the domestic and international literature on the subject of the project. This analysis should indicate the significance of the proposed research subject in the literature as well as the gap that needs to be covered. (The box below can be enlarged if necessary.)

6. Method: Parameters selected to be examined in line with the design/approaches of the research (compatible with its aim and scope) must be listed. The method to be used and the material to be applied for examining these parameters must be clearly defined. The measurements to be made (or

the data to be collected), and the connections to be made must be explained in detail. (The box below can be enlarged if necessary.)

7. The Original Value of the Project: The points that form the originality of the project must be clearly defined. Project's scientific and technological merit must be very well emphasized. The contribution of the proposed new idea, technology, method or theory to the literature must be explained.

8. Project's Scientific Expectations and Publication Methods: Possible outcomes and products of the project and their contribution to science and arts to be expected upon its completion will be scrutinized. In the projects accepted within the scope of these regulations, project directors are expected to make at least one high-caliber international publication. Therefore, the project director must specify the methods of disseminating the research results to the scientific and general public (book, article, report etc.).



9. Project's Work Plan: The work to be completed within the duration of the project must be defined in report-phases of six months and defined within a calendar. The calendar must be in line with timing justifications given in the project proposal. (In order to create the calendar click on the calendar or create a similar work plan.)

Project Title

Project Schedule 

Start Date		Jan 1, 2014																							
Month	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	Notes
	Jan '14	Feb '14	Mar '14	Apr '14	May '14	Jun '14	Jul '14	Aug '14	Sep '14	Oct '14	Nov '14	Dec '14	Jan '15	Feb '15	Mar '15	Apr '15	May '15	Jun '15	Jul '15	Aug '15	Sep '15	Oct '15	Nov '15	Dec '15	
Phase One																									
Phase Two																									
Phase Three																									

© 2014 Vertex42 LLC
 ← Enter the project start date
[Project Schedule Template](#)
 If you like this template, give it a +1 or link to Vertex42.com from your website or blog.
 Thank you!
[click here to to revisit](#)

10. The Method of Evaluating the Former IRP Outcomes: How did you use your former IRP projects in order to disseminate them to the general public? Here, the following must be explained: workshops/seminars/conferences where the project outcomes were presented; journals where they were published.

10. Budget and Justification:

The General Budget table below must be filled in fully.

Contribution Source	Machine Equipment Software	Consumable Material Stationary	Service Purchase	Travel	Total



**KADIR HAS UNIVERSITY
SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH PROJECT
REFEREE EVALUATION FORM**

Project Title:
Project Director:
Project Duration:
Project Budget:

This form is developed to render possible an objective evaluation of project proposals in terms of their “aim, method and originality value”, “widespread impact” and “feasibility”. The data gained from this evaluation will be used in the decision about supporting the project.

For the evaluation of the project proposal, sentences on the three criteria mentioned above are given. You are expected to evaluate these criteria on a scale of 0-100 depending on the project proposal’s attributes. While “0” indicates that the project does not have the related attribute at all, “100” indicates that it fully has that attribute.

Justifying your opinions as much as possible is of importance in terms of easing the process of evaluation of the proposal. You are expected to write your views with their justification in the boxes created for this.

Your evaluation of the project proposal based on the evaluation criteria will be passed by us to the project director to help him/her create a better and more realistic version of the project.

EVALUATION OF THE PROJECT

The criteria for the evaluation of a project are the project’s “originality value”, “widespread impact” and its “feasibility.” The primary criterion for the project evaluation is its “originality value.” The “originality value” score of a project must not be any lower than 60 out of 100. For a project to be accepted as scientifically successful upon the evaluation, the average score of the three criteria must not be any lower than 70 out of 100.

1. AIM METHOD AND ORIGINALITY VALUE

Aim and method: Evaluate the feasibility of the project in terms of “aim, scope and method” utilizing the statements below and grade it out of 100.

SCORE:		(/ 100)
1.	The aim of the research (problem/hypothesis) is clearly stated.	

2.	The approach/model of the research is described and compatible with its aim.
3.	The scope of the research (group/material/equipment) is explained.
4.	Methods and tools of data-collecting (as well as their developing processes, if applicable) are stated.
5.	Data analysis techniques are specified in line with the aim.
<i>Please specify your justifications regarding your evaluation.</i>	

“Originality Value and Method”: Evaluate the project in terms of “original value” utilizing the statements below and grade it out of 100.

SCORE:		(/ 100)
1.	It brings a scientific solution to a local, national or international problem.	
2.	It brings a scientific or technological innovation in terms of its method, theory or the knowledge it will produce.	
3.	It has potential to be published in academic journals, in international indices or in books.	
4.	It proposes a question which presents a new and different perspective as well as complementary scientific question(s).	
5.	Through a literature review based on main and contemporary sources, the proposal’s scientific consistency and its integrity are underscored, and its connection with other scientific works is defined.	
<i>Please specify your justifications regarding your evaluation.</i>		

2. PROJECT’S WIDESPREAD IMPACT

Evaluate the project in terms of its “widespread impact” utilizing the statements below and grade it out of 100.

SCORE:		(/ 100)
1.	Findings can be used globally and/or nationally.	
2.	It has the potential to be an intellectual source for future projects with its findings.	

3.	It has the potential to create further research capacity for its society and institution in terms of its results.
4.	Collaboration with or support from other institution(s) is present.
5.	It has the potential to have output that will be registered with a publication, patent, award, competition place or a paper.
<i>Please specify your justifications regarding your evaluation.</i>	

3. FEASIBILITY OF THE PROJECT

The feasibility of the project will be evaluated in terms of four sub-criteria. These are listed below as “team/method”, “equipment/environment”, “duration” and “budget”. Evaluate the project in terms of its “teamwork” utilizing the statements below and grade it out of 100.

SCORE:		(/ 100)
1.	The project team has project experience.	
2.	The project team has publications on the project subject.	
3.	The project team comprises a sufficient number of members.	
4.	The project team comprises scholars compatible with the project subject.	
5.	The research scope (group/material) is well described.	
6.	Approaches and selected methods are in line with the aim(s) of the project.	
7.	The aim (problem/hypothesis) is well defined.	
8.	Data collecting methods and tools (and developing processes, if applicable) are specified.	
9.	Data analysis techniques are specified in line with the aim(s).	
<i>Please specify your justifications regarding your evaluation.</i>		

Equipment/Environment: Evaluate the project in terms of its “Equipment/Environment” utilizing the statements below and grade it out of 100.

SCORE:		(/ 100)
1.	The infrastructure, environment and facilities of the unit where the project will be conducted are sufficient.	
2.	The additional equipment required within the scope of the project is in line with the current infrastructure and project.	
3.	Equipment requested is appropriate for long-term and multiple projects.	
4.	Required equipment and their cost are compatible with the project.	
5.	Equipment requested within the scope of the project can easily be obtained.	
<i>Please specify your justifications regarding your evaluation.</i>		

Duration: Evaluate the project in terms of its “duration” utilizing the statements below and grade it out of 100.

SCORE:		(/ 100)
1.	Proposed project duration is realistic.	
2.	Proposed project calendar is realistic.	
3.	The necessary legal permission(s) has been given, if applicable.	
4.	Project duration is specified in consideration of the parts of the research.	
<i>Please specify your justifications regarding your evaluation.</i>		

Budget: Evaluate the project in terms of its “budget” utilizing the statements below and grade it out of 100.

SCORE:		(/ 100)
1.	Proposed budget is realistic.	
2.	Necessary proposals about the expense items are documented.	
3.	Required support is compatible with the research calendar.	
4.	Budget justifications are clearly written in association with method.	
<i>Please specify your justifications regarding your evaluation.</i>		

--

WHAT ARE THE <u>MOST POSITIVE</u> ASPECTS YOU FIND IN THE PROJECT PROPOSAL?			
WHAT ARE THE <u>MOST NEGATIVE</u> ASPECTS YOU FIND IN THE PROJECT PROPOSAL?			
WHAT IS YOUR OVERALL EVALUATION OF THE PROJECT?			
<input type="checkbox"/> WEAK (1-49)	<input type="checkbox"/> MEDIOCRE (50-69)	<input type="checkbox"/> GOOD (70-85)	<input type="checkbox"/> VERY GOOD (86-100)
Title, Name and Surname		Date: ../../20..	Signature
Institution:		Address:	
Phone number:		Fax:	e-mail:



**KADIR HAS UNIVERSITY
SCHOLARLY RESEARCH PROJECTS**

PROJECT PROPOSAL IRP COMMISSION RAPPORTEUR FORM

PROJECT DIRECTOR:

PROJECT TITLE:

The project titled *** was submitted to our commission by KHU on **. Our commission has met on ** and the formation of the evaluation committee as follows has been accepted unanimously.

1. Coordinator:
2. Rapporteur:
3. Referee:
4. Referee:
5. Referee:

After the referee reports were submitted to our commission, the IRP Commission met again on **. At this meeting, referee reports were read and scores given were examined. Referee scores are as follows:

PARAMETER / PUAN	1. REFEREE SCORE	2. REFEREE SCORE	3. REFEREE SCORE
1. PROJECT'S ORIGINALITY VALUE AND METHOD			
Originality Value			
Method			

2. PROJECT'S WIDESPREAD IMPACT			
3. FEASIBILITY OF THE PROJECT			
TOTAL AVERAGE SCORE			

Average Score

<input type="checkbox"/> VERY GOOD (86-100)	<input type="checkbox"/> GOOD (70-85)	<input type="checkbox"/> MEDIOCRE (50-69)	<input type="checkbox"/> WEAK (1-49)
--	--	--	---

Commission members have been consulted on the project; after the opinions were shared the voting was held and it has been accepted by majority of votes/unanimously that the project should be accepted/rejected.

IRP Commission Rapporteur

Name:

Signature:

Date: